# Reporting Obligations for Medical Information Privacy Breaches in California

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authority</th>
<th>Who Must Report</th>
<th>What Must Be Reported</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>How Quickly</th>
<th>Possible Consequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| CMIA      | Licensed Health Facilities, Clinics, Home Care Agencies and Hospices in California *(Reporting requirement does not include individual licensed providers)* | “unlawful” or “unauthorized” access to, use or disclosure of patient medical information *(see page 2)* | CDPH and Patient             | 5 business days from “detection” *(unless law enforcement requests a delay)* | • Investigation of intentional or large breaches by CDPH / DHHS  
• Corrective Action Plan costs  
• Civil fines or misdemeanor conviction for underlying act (access, use, disclosure)  
• Fines for delays in reporting  
• “Resolution Agreement” costs, including independent monitoring  
• CDPH may refer breaches involving licensed individuals to OHII and licensing boards  
• Reputational harm  
• Civil suits – including class actions  
• Enforcement action by state AG (HIPAA and CMIA), DAs, city attorneys, etc. (CMIA only) |
| HITECH    | HIPAA “covered entities” *(CE) – including individual licensed providers, health plans, and health care clearinghouses*  
HIPAA “Business Associates” *(BAs) must report to their covered entity* which has the duties outlined here for those breaches as well. | “Breaches” = Unauthorized acquisition, access, use or disclosure that involves “unsecured” ** information UNLESS “low probability of compromise” is documented *(see page 3)*  
** “unsecured” = not encrypted or destroyed | Patient and DHHS Media *(maybe)* | To patient - “without unreasonable delay”, but no later than 60 days from time the CE or its BA knew or should have known of the breach *(unless law enforcement requests a delay)*  
To DHHS Secretary *(> 500 individuals = same time frame as patient; < 500 individuals = annual report no later than February 29)*  
To Media - if > 500 patients single state or jurisdiction = same time frame as patient; |  

---

**CMIA** = Confidentiality of Medical Information Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 56.05-56.37 and Cal. H. & S. Code § 1280.15  
**CPDH** = California Department of Public Health  
**DHHS** = U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
**HITECH** = Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act *(included in the February 2009 stimulus bill, Pub. L. 111–5)*  
**OHII** = California Office of Health Information Integrity
California Reporting

Must report:

- Use, access or disclosure that is - “unlawful” (no definition) or “unauthorized” = “inappropriate access, review, or viewing of patient medical information without a direct need for medical diagnosis, treatment, or other lawful use”

- Other “lawful” uses and disclosures without execution of an "authorization" by the patient do not need to be reported. These include: mandated reporting to public agencies, obtaining payment, pursuant to court orders, search warrants and subpoenas, utilization and quality review, organ donation, to family directly involved in the patient’s care, providing directory information.

- Inadvertent misdirection “within the same facility or health care system within the course of coordinating care or delivering services” is not reportable.

Format:

Patient report – no set requirements except directing to last known address. (But see federal requirements on p.3)

CDPH Report should include:
- Date and time of reported incident
- Facility name
- Facility address/location
- Facility contact person
- Name of patient(s)
- Name of the alleged violator(s)
- General information about the circumstances surrounding the breach
- Any other information needed to make the determination for an onsite investigation

“In assessing penalties, “the department shall consider the [facility’s] history of compliance with this section and other related state and federal statutes and regulations, the extent to which the facility detected violations and took preventative action to immediately correct and prevent past violations from recurring, and factors outside its control that restricted the facility’s ability to comply with this section.”

Preventative Action Checklist:

- Process change(s) made? In writing?
- Staff in-serviced? Who, what, how? Check for effectiveness / competency?
- “Lesson” shared and / or applied elsewhere in the facility?
- Monitoring or auditing the new process? Where is this reported?
- Patients(s) notified?
- What happened to involved staff / physicians / contractors?
- New training material to attach?
### Federal Reporting

**Must report:**
- Unauthorized acquisition, access, use or disclosure – including those occurring at business associates - **UNLESS**
- “Low probability of compromise” can be documented in writing.

**Notice Requirements:**

- **Individual notices** (and media, if required) must contain:
  - **what happened**, included dates of breach and discovery, if known;
  - **types of information involved** (name, address, SSN, DOB, diagnosis codes, etc.);
  - **what individuals should do** to protect themselves;
  - **what the CE is doing** to investigate, mitigate harm and protect against further breaches;
  - **Contact procedures for questions / further information** (must include 800 number, email, website or postal address)

**Substitute notice** - “Conspicuous notice” on the homepage of CE’s website or to “major” media with an 800 number is required if insufficient or out of date contact info for >10 patients

**DHHS Notification forms** – online at [www.hhs.gov/ocr/privacy/hipaa/administrative/breachnotificationrule](http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/privacy/hipaa/administrative/breachnotificationrule)

### “Breach” Exceptions - need not report or assess:

1. unintentional access or use by workforce member without further distribution;
2. mistaken / accidental disclosure between similarly situated individuals that routinely handle PHI;
3. disclosure where the unauthorized person “could not reasonably retain the information”

### “Low Probability of Compromise” Assessment Criteria: [must address all four]

1. **Nature and extent of the PHI involved**
   - Limited? Partly deidentified? Diagnosis?
2. **Who was the unauthorized person** who used / received the PHI - Another workforce member / Covered Entity / Business Associate?
3. **Was PHI actually accessed or viewed?**
4. **Extent of mitigation**
   - Returned? Reliably destroyed?
### Examples of reported violations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intentional “curiosity” access</th>
<th>California penalties assessed*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 (17 employees / 33 patient records)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$250,000 (21 employees, two physicians / 1 patient)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$250,000 (1 employee, 204 patient records)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$130,000 (Physician staff, physician, contractors, employee / 1 patient)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$95,000 (2 employees, 2 contractors / 1 patient)(second incident)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intentional access / disclosure for criminal purpose</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$125,000 (4 face sheets taken, used for identity theft)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$225,000 (9 patient records accessed, used for identity theft)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intentional access / disclosure to co-worker, relative</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$60,000 (Access and disclosure of child’s record to relative)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60,000 (Access and disclosure to patient’s mother and sister)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 (Access and disclosure of child’s record to mother)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intentional disclosure outside facility</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$42,500 (Cellphone discussion and “Myspace” posting)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;penalty pending&gt; (5 employees took and distributed pictures of trauma patient)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;penalty pending&gt; (2 employees took and distributed pictures of amputated leg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unintentional loss / disclosure</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$250,000 (records relating to 596 patients missing from unlocked “storage locker”)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75,000 (visitor allowed access to restricted area, overheard two patient registration interviews)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 (patient 2’s lab results misfiled in patient 1’s record which was subpoenaed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;penalty pending&gt; (Backpack containing paper assignment sheets stolen / 100 patients)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* More details, including plans of correction submitted by the facilities, are available on the CDPH website.

### Federal Penalty in California

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repeated “curiosity” access</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$865,500 + Resolution Agreement + 3 year monitored Corrective Action Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More than fifty CA “covered entities” have reported breaches affecting >500 patients

For more Information Privacy and Security resources go to - http://compliance-toolbox.wikispaces.com